# STUDIES IN THE RANUNCULACEAE OF THE SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES. VI. MISCELLANEOUS GENERA<sup>12</sup>

CARL S. KEENER Department of Biology The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pa. 16802

In providing a treatment of the Ranunculaceae for the forthcoming Vascular Flora of the Southeastern United States, a number of nomenclatural and taxonomic decisions were made which call for additional clarification (see Keener, 1975, for a general rationale governing these studies). This treatment of various smaller genera is deliberately conservative and I have attempted to note those species deserving careful biosystematic study.

Within the southeastern United States, Ranunculaceae is represented by 18 genera and 93 species which compares to 50-70 genera and 2000-4000 species for the world (Buchheim, 1964; Zimmermann, 1965). The following key to the genera native or naturalized within the southeastern United States also includes three genera (Adonis, Helleborus, Nigella) which occasionally escape from cultivation, but are not considered sufficiently well established to be included in a regional floristic treatment. In previous papers I presented notes on Aconitum, Anemone, Clematis, Consolida, Delphinium, Ranunculus, and Thalictrum; these genera, although included in the key given below, are not discussed further.

#### KEY TO GENERA

- 1. Flowers actinomorphic, the sepals mostly equal; fruit various.
  - Leaves opposite; styles of mature fruits usually elongate and plumose Clematis.
     Leaves whorled, alternate or basal; styles of mature fruits not elongate
  - and plumose.
    - Fruit an achene or utricle; carpels 1-ovuled.
      Sepals short-spurred; leaves basal, linear; fruiting receptacles more then 1 cm leaves
      - than 1 cm long Myosurus. 4. Sepals not spurred; leaves various, rarely basal and linear; fruiting receptacles usually less than 1 cm long. 5. Petals present, usually showy.

SIDA 7(1): 1-12. 1977.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a manuscript and notes compiled for the forthcoming Vascular Flora of the Southeastern United States. The area covered in this treatment is bounded by and includes Louisiana, Arkanas, Kentucky, West Virginia, Maryland, and Delaware. In general, the format follows Radford et al. (1967). Any suggestions for improving this treatment should be sent to me so that necessary corrections can be made before the Vascular Flora is in press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Contribution No. 134 from the Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University.

- Petals basally nectariferous; leaves not finely dissected (except in several aquatic species); terrestrial and aquatic
- 6. Petals lacking basal nectaries; leaves finely dissected; terrestrial (introduced species sporadically escaped from cultivation) Adonis.
- 5. Petals absent, the sepals or filaments often well-developed.
- - scarcely petiolulate; flowers usually bisexual.

    - 8. Leaves basal, except for the opposite or whorled involucral leaves subtending the flowers; fruit an achene.
- Fruit a follicle or berry; carpels at least 2-ovuled.
  Plants small shrubs; inflorescences paniculate, drooping
  - 10. Plants herbaceous; inflorescences not paniculate, the flowers racemose or solitary.
    - 11. Largest leaves simple, variously toothed to deeply palmately lobed.
      - - 13. Petals absent: sepals petaloid, usually bright yellow; leaves

        - ish-yellow; leaves palmate or pedate (introduced species occasionally escaped from cultivation) . . . . *Helleborus*.
    - Largest leaves ternately compound to decompound or finely dissected.
      - Leaves variously compound with generally broad, toothed or lobed leaflets; carpels distinct.
        - 15. Petals distinctly spurred; flowers variously colored
        - 15. Petals absent, or if present, not spurred; flowers usually white
          - Sepals small, not petaloid, caducous; flowers many, racemose,
          - Sepals conspicuous, petaloid, not caducous; flowers solitary or few.
            - Petals (staminodia) present, small; follicles long-stipitate; cauline leaves absent . . . . . . . . . . . Coptis.
            - 18. Petals absent; follicles sessile; cauline leaves present

. . . . . . . . . Enemion.

- 1. Flowers zygomorphic, the upper (posterior) sepal distinctly unlike the others; fruit a follicle.

  - 19. Upper sepal spurred, not hooded; petals 2 or 4, with at least the limbs exserted.

Carpel 1; petals 2, united; introduced annuals . . . . Consolida.
 Carpels 2 or more; petals 4, distinct; native perennials

. . . . . . . . Delphinium.

### ACTAEA L., Baneberry

The baneberry genus *Actaea* includes about 10 species widely distributed in the northern hemisphere (Tamura, 1966). Two species are in eastern North America.

- 1. Berries white (rarely red); mature pedicels stout, more than 1 mm thick; stigmas during anthesis 1-2 mm broad, sessile . . 1. A. PACHYPODA.
- 1. Berries red (rarely white); mature pedicels slender, less than 1 mm thick; stigmas during anthesis 0.5–1 mm broad, subsessile

. . . . . 2. A. RUBRA.

# 1. A. PACHYPODA Ell., White Baneberry, Doll's-eyes

Rich open woods and thickets; all prov. SE except LA. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.]. Incl. forma *rubrocarpa* (Killip) Fern.—Fernald (1950), a form with red fruits; *A. alba sensu* Small (1933), Gleason and Cronquist (1963), *non* Miller (1768).

The taxonomy of the eastern North American species of Actaea is fairly well understood. There are two species which differ chiefly in pedicel thickness, and although both species have red and white fruited forms, within a given species one color predominates (cf. key above). Of the two species, however, the nomenclature for the widespread thick-pedicelled species has not been satisfactorily resolved. At issue is whether the name A. alba Miller or A. pachypoda Ell, should be applied to this species.

When Linnaeus (Sp. Pl. I: 504. 1753) described A. spicata var. alba he used no specimens, but merely cited two works in which the plant was previously figured: t. 77 of Cornut's Canadensium Plantarum Historia (1635) and ii. fol. 1, t. 2, fig. 7 of Morison's Plantarum Historia Universalis (1680) both reproduced by Fernald (1940). Later, when Miller (1768) published the name A. alba he gave a brief diagnosis and merely cited Morison (but not Linnaeus) and as Mackenzie (1928) pointed out, "... it is not correct therefore to write Actaea alba (L) Miller." According to Mackenzie (1928), although Miller grew the plant, no specimen apparently has been preserved.

Mackenzie (1928) maintained that the illustrations by Cornut and Morison (who obviously copied his illustrations from Cornut—see Fernald, 1940) rep-

resented an Actaea with ovoid racemes and slender pedicels. He concluded that Cornut, Morison, Linnaeus, and Miller all described the slender-pedicelled white-fruited form which is currently called A. rubra (Aiton) Willd. forma neglecta (Gillman) Robinson. But Mackenzie never followed through on the nomenclature. Instead of applying the name A. alba Miller to the white-fruited form of the slender-pedicelled species which would then have become the name for the species, he regarded the red-fruited form to be more natural ('undoubtdly the specific type'') than the rarer white form. Consequently, Mackenzie used the name A. rubra (Aiton) Willd. for the species, Finally, there being no earlier available name, Mackenzie applied Elliott's A. pachypoda to the thick-pedicelled plants.

Fernald (1940) thoroughly reviewed the nomenclatural history of Actaea spicata var. alba and concluded that Cornu's illustration was not that of a Canadian plant. Moreover, Fernald pointed out that although Cornut's sketch apparently was based on the European A. spicata (a species with black ovcid fruits), the phrase "baccis niveis" seemingly referred to North American material. Fernald's conclusion was that inasmuch as "the basis of A. alba Mill. was confused," the unequivocal name A. pachypoda Ell. should be used instead.

Gleason (1944) agreed with the facts set forth by Mackenzie and Fernald, but he differed sharply with their conclusions. In the first place, Gleason argued that greater weight should be given to the description of the fruit. Gleason noted that in the description of his species ("Aconitum baccis niveis & rubris"), Cornut included a statement to the effect that "the white berry became 'orbicularis' at maturity and had a purple spot at the summit." This seemingly implied that the plant was from eastern North America, not from Europe, Furthermore, Linnaeus, having no specimen of the North American thick-pedicelled, white-fruited baneberry, based his variety alba entirely on Cornut's description and illustrations, Gleason believed that Linnaeus' d'agnosis ("B. Aconitum baccis niveis, Corn. canad, 76. t. 77") clearly singled out the North American white-fruited baneberry by eliminating the European black-fruited A. spicata and the American red-fruited A. rubra. Consequently, Gleason felt that "A. alba (L.) Miller" should be the name applied to the thick-pedicelled, white-fruited baneberry of eastern North America.

After reviewing the evidence, 1 have adopted A, pachypoda Ell, for the thick-pedicelled eastern North American baneberry for the following reasons:

 Fernald (1940) clearly demonstrated that the sketches of both Cornut and Morison illustrate the European A. spicata far better than they illustrate either of the two eastern North American species.

 Linnaeus' diagnosis (Sp. Pl. 1: 504, 1753) for variety alba ("β. Aconitum baccis niveis") is too brief. It could be applied equally well to the common white-fruited thick-pedicelled species (A. pachypoda) or the whitefruited form of the thin-pedicelled species (A. rabra). 3. Because no type material for var. alba is known to have been preserved, and in view of Linnaeus' inadequate diagnosis, I am relying on the illustrations by Cornut and Morison to clarify matters. Because the illustrations and diagnosis apparently refer to two different taxa, it is best to regard Linnaeus' variety alba as a nomen ambiguum.

4. Miller's diagnosis (Miller, 1768) is also too brief. The only definite points in his diagnosis are the phrase "racemo ovato" and a single reference to Morison's illustration. Because no type material has been preserved so far as is known (Mackenzie, 1928), one is again forced to rely on an illustration which more accurately figures the European A. spicata than it does the castern North American plants.

2. A. RUBRA (Aiton) Willd., Red Baneberry

Rich woods and thickets; chiefly mts. Reported for W.Va.; to be sought elsewhere in the SE. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.] Incl. forma *neglecta* (Gillman) Robinson—Fernald (1950), a form with white fruits; *A. pachypoda* Ell.—sensu Radford (1968), pro parte.

Actaea rubra ranges over the cooler parts of North America and probably is a species which recently (in post-Pleistocene time) migrated into eastern North America (Kane, 1966). It has been reported for West Virginia (Fernald, 1950), and Tennessee and North Carolina (Small, 1933), but I have seen no specimens. It should be sought in the cool mountainous regions of Maryland, Virginia and West Virginia (cf. Strausbaugh and Core, 1971).

#### AQUILEGIA L., Columbine

This genus of about 70 species (Munz, 1946) is widely distributed in the northern hemisphere. There are two species in the southeastern United States, one native and one an introduced escape.

- Spurs straight to curved, not hooked distally; perianth red, yellow or both; stamens conspicuously exserted . . . . 1. A. CANADENSIS.

### 1. A. CANADENSIS L., Wild Columbine

Rich rocky woods, ledges, pastures and roadside banks; all prov. SE except La. and Miss. [ALL]. Inel. A. coccinca Small—Small (1933), A. c. var. coccinca (Small) Munz—Fernald (1950), A. australis Small—Small (1933), A. c. var. lattuscula (Greene) Munz—Fernald (1950).

Munz (1946), who revised the genus on a worldwide basis, recognized five varieties of *A. canadensis* although he admitted that "there is abundant intergradation and many specimens are difficult to place." Until thorough biosystematic studies involving comparative population analyses are undertaken to assess the overall geographic variability. I prefer to recognize A. canadensis as a wide-ranging polymorphic species.

#### 2. A. VULGARIS L.

A garden escape occasionally established along roadsides, waste places and fields; mts. and pied. NC., W.Va. [Pa., N.J.].

Although Munz (1946) provided a key and description to 24 varieties of this species, most seem to be mere trivial variants based on flower color and size, leaflet shape, size and dissection, pubescence, etc. Consequently, no attempt has been made to account for them in our flora.

# CALTHA L., Marsh-Marigold

One of the most primitive genera within the Ranunculaceae, *Caltha* is a worldwide, generally extra-tropical genus of ca. 20 species (Tamura, 1966; Buchheim, 1964), one of which occurs throughout arctic and eastern North America and Eurasia.

### 1. C. PALUSTRIS L.

Wet woods, wet meadows, marshes and bogs; chiefly mts. Del. cp., Md., N.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [11]., Ind., Ohio, Pa., N.J.].

This species varies considerably with respect to "habit, size, shape of leaf and follicle and in chromosome number" (Tutin, 1964), Kootin-Sanwu and Woodell (1971) analyzed the cytogenetic relationships of various chromosome races and concluded that  $C_{1}$  palustris 1) is sexually reproducing with the plants self-incompatible, 2) consists of a number of chromosome races with a base number of 8 [the 2n=32 race is common in North America; 2n=56race the only one in Britain; other races in Europe include 2n=16, 28, 48. 60, 72 plus many aneuploids], 3) by means of polyploidy, hybridization and obligate outbreeding coupled with vegetative reproduction has become a highly successful species now widely established in wet habitats throughout the northern hemisphere, and 4) "is interesting in that there exist welldefined, partially or completely isolated chromosome races which if morphologically distinct could be regarded as separate species, but which must, on present evidence, be regarded as one." In view that the eastern North American plants are an apparent uniform 2n=32 race, it would be of interest to analyze those populations consisting of slender plants with small flowers and broadly reniform leaves formerly recognized as C. flabellifolia Pursh (Pursh, 1814) [= C. palustris var. radicans (Forst.) Beck-see Smit, 1973]. For a comprehensive treatment of Caltha, see Smit (1973).

#### CIMICIFUGA L., Bugbane

Ramsey (1965), who studied the genus from a comprehensive perspective, recognized 12 species widely distributed throughout the northern hemisphere, of which three are in eastern North America.

6

 Carpels 1(-2), sessile; follicles firm-walled.
 Follicles ca. 7 mm long, the seeds smooth; petals (staminodia) present; leaflets 20 or more per leaf . . . . . . . . . . . 1. C. RACEMOSA. 2. Follicles ca. 16 mm long, the seeds scaly; petals (staminodia) absent;

leaflets 3-9(17) per leaf · · · · · · · · · · 2. C. RUBIFOLIA.

1. Carpels 3-8, stipitate; follicles thin, papery . . . 3. C. AMERICANA.

# 1. C. RACEMOSA (L.) Nuttall, Black Cohosh

Rich moist woods, wooded slopes, ravines and thickets; all prov. SE except La. and Miss. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.]. Incl. C. r. var. cordifolia (Pursh) Gray-sensu Fernald (1950), pro parte, and forma dissecta (Gray) Fern.-Fernald (1950).

Plants with extremely dissected leaves have been named forma dissecta (Gray) Fern., but such variants appear to be unworthy of taxonomic recognition. With respect to variety cordifolia, see the discussion under no. 2 below.

# 2. C. RUBIFOLIA Kearney

Wooded limestone river bluffs, ravines and coves; chiefly mts. Tenn. mts. and IP, Va. [III.]. Incl. C. racemosa (L.) Nuttall var. cordifolia (Pursh) Gray-sensu Fernald (1950), pro parte.

Cimicifuga rubifolia, a relatively rare and poorly understood species, was described by Kearney (1897) to include the unicarpellate, sessile-ovaried plants with large deeply cordate leaflets which he collected near Knoxville, Tennessee.

Much earlier, Pursh (1814) described C. cordifolia which over the years was applied (e.g. by Gray, 1895, and Fernald, 1950) to robust forms of C. racemosa with subcordate leaflets as well as elements referable to C. rubifolia Kearney (Ramsey, 1965), However, even though Pursh's C. cordi*folia* was apparently based on a plant having deeply cordate leaflets, the type description (Pursh, 1814) clearly points to a species earlier described by Michaux as C. americana (Ramsey, 1965). Hence, Pursh's C. cordifolia is a synonym of C. americana and Gray (1895) and Fernald (1950) simply misapplied the name.

# 3. C. AMERICANA Michaux

Rich moist wooded slopes and coves; mts., rarely pied. Ga., Ky., Md., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Pa.].

# COPTIS Salisbury, Goldthread

Apparently a relict genus, Coptis consists of about 14 species widely distributed throughout the cooler parts of the north temperate zone (Tamura, 1968).

#### 1. C. TRIFOLIA (L.) Salisbury

Rich damp mossy woods and bogs; mts. Md., W.Va. [Ind., Ohio, Pa., N.J.]. Our material can be segregated as var. groenlandica (Oeder) Fassett

# [C. groenlandica (Oeder) Fern.-Fernald (1950)].

On the basis of available herbarium material, Fernald (1929) treated the plants of Greenland and Atlantic North America as *C. groculandica* and distinct from *C. trijolia* which he considered restricted to eastern Asia and Alaska. Fernald did remark, however, that possible transitional populations might lead to a reclassification. Hultén (1937), in comparing specimens from the Aleutians and southeastern Alaska, concluded that plants from the Aleutians coincided with material from Kamtchatka, whereas those from southeastern Alaska apparently were more closely related to plants from Greenland. Because of these more or less transitional populations, Hultén treated the material from southeastern Alaska to British Columbia, eastern North America, and Greenland as *C. trijolia* subse, groenlandica (Oeder) Hultén which was later reduced by Fassett (1946) to varietal status. Although I prefer to regard *C. trijolia* as a single wide-ranging species, those who recognize regional variants can segregate our material as *C. trijolia* var. groenlandica (Oeder) Fassett.

# ENEMION Raf., False Rue Anemone

The delimitation of taxa within the Tribe Isopyreae Schrödinger, demarked by small chromosomes (x=7) and follicular fruits (Tamura, 1968), has long been unsettled. Drummond and Hutchinson (1920), who thoroughly reviewed the taxonomic history of Isopyrum and its close allies, recognized seven genera, including Enemion which was originally described by Rafinesque (1820) to accommodate newly discovered plants somewhat resembling the common Rue Anemone (Thalictrum thalictroides (L.) Eames & Boivin). Although Torrey and Gray (1838, p. 29) initially recognized Rafinesque's genus, in the Supplement to their Flora (vol. 1, p. 660, 1838) they transferred Enemion biternatum to Isopyrum as well as firmly delimiting it from the European I. thalictroides L. of which it had at one time been considered a mere apetalous form (Drummond and Hutchinson, 1920). In the latest revision of this group, Tamura and Lauener (1968) likewise recognized Enemion, along with four other closely related genera (Dichocarpum, Isopurum, Leptopyrum and Paraquilegia). Of these, only Enemion lacks petals, which, along with its several free carpels having transverse veinlets, led Tamura (1968) to consider it as the most primitive genus within the Isopyreae.

However, not all recent workers accept the generic segregation of *Ene*mion. For example, Calder and Taylor (1963) believe Drummond and Hutchinson (1920) misinterpreted the petaloid structures of *Isopyrum* as petals instead of interpreting them as staminodia and moreover placed "... too much stress on their value as characters for segregation of the genera." Nevertheless, irrespective of whether one wishes to call these structures staminodia or petals (cf. Tamura, 1965; Cronquist, 1968; 81), the taxonomic question is whether the absence of these structures is sufficient for a generic segregation of *Enemion* from *Isopyrum sci.* Iat. As recognized in this paper, *Enemion* has six species, distributed in North America and eastern Asia (Calder and Taylor, 1963); one of these, *E. biternatum* Raf., is in eastern North America.

### 1. E. BITERNATUM Raf.

Rich moist woods, rare; chiefly cp. and pied. Ala., Ark. mts., Fla., Ky. IP, N.C., S.C., Tenn. pied., W.Va. [Okla., Mo., Ill., Ind., Ohio]. *Isopyrum biter-natum* (Raf.) T. & G.-Small (1933), Fernald (1950), Gleason and Cronquist (1963), Raford (1968).

#### HEPATICA Miller, Liverleaf, Hepatica

Although some authors unite *Hepatica* with *Anemone* (e.g. Hiroe, 1957; Hara, 1962; Ohwi, 1965), I regard *Hepatica* as a distinct genus, chiefly on the basis of the position of its involucral bracts which closely subtend the flowers.

There has been some difference of opinion regarding the taxonomic treatment of the eastern North American hepaticas. Current regional manuals (e.g. Fernald, 1950; Gleason and Cronquist, 1963; Radford, 1968) generally recognize two species, *H. acutiloba* and *H. americana*. However, critical studies by Hara and Kurosawa (1958) and by Julian and Cora Steyermark (1950) established that *H. nobilis* Miller sensu lato is widely distributed in three disjunct regions in the north temperate zone (Europe, eastern Asia, eastern North America). The European plants are only slightly variable whereas those in eastern Asia are the most polymorphic of all and include the only known tetraploid race (Hara, 1962).

With respect to the eastern North American populations there are two relatively distinct forms, which apparently hybridize where they are sympatric (Steyermark and Steyermark, 1960). On the basis of their herbarium studies, the Steyermarks (1960) concluded that "it is, therefore, a matter of difficulty to distinguish some of the European forms of *H. nobilis* from one or the other of the two American taxa." Intensive biosystematic research, including comparative breeding studies should be undertaken to resolve the evolutionary relationships within the *H. nobilis* complex. However, pending such research, I am following the preliminary work of the Steyermarks in recognizing the eastern North American hepaticas as regional varieties of the European *H. nobilis*.

#### 1. H. NOBILIS Miller

Two varieties are recognized following Steyermark and Steyermark (1960).

### 1a. Var. OBTUSA (Pursh) Steyermark

Leaves cleft to about the middle of the blade; apex of leaf lobes and bracts rounded to obtuse. Sepals typically bluish (sometimes white or pink). Rich woods and dry upland slopes, often in acid soils; all prov. SE except La. and Miss. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.]. H. hepatica (L.) Karsten-Small (1933); H. americana (DC.) Ker.-Gawl.—Fernald (1950), Gleason and Cronquist (1963), Radford (1968).

#### 1b. Var. ACUTA (Pursh) Steyermark

Leaves parted to below the middle of the blade; apex of leaf lobes and bracts acute. Sepals typically whitish. Upland woods and rocky slopes, often in calcarcous soil; chiefly mts. and pied. Ala., Ark., Ga., Ky., Md., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Mo., Ill., Ind., Ohio, Pa.]. *II. acuta* (Pursh) Britton—Small (1933); *H. acutiloba* DC.—Fernald (1950), Gleason and Cronquist (1963), Radford (1968).

#### HYDRASTIS Ellis ex L., Golden Seal, Orangeroot

This monotypic genus (Janchen, 1949; Tamura, 1968), restricted to eastern North America, was once widely used in homeopathic medicine. For example, Lloyd and Lloyd (1884), who devoted over one hundred pages in discussing its botanical characteristics and medicinal properties, estimated that the total annual production (ca. 1880) reached nearly 75 tons of rhizomes and roots. Due to such extermination plus its rather exacting ecological requirements, *Hudrastis* is now quite rare and definitely needs protection.

#### 1. H. CANADENSIS L.

Rich woods, rare; chiefly mts. SE except Ala., Fla., La. [Mo., Ill., Ind., Ohio, Pa.].

#### MYOSURUS L., Mouse-tail

The specialized and phyletically advanced genus *Myosurus* consists of about 16 species widely distributed in all continents, although it is absent in eastern Asia (Tamura, 1967). Campbell (1952) recognized four species native to North America, one of which (*M. minimus*) also occurs in South America, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand. For a study of reproductive biology, meiotic and mitotic behavior, see Stone (1959, 1950).

#### 1. M. MINIMUS L.

Low fields in alluvial or ealcareous soil; chiefly cp. and pied. Ala., Ark., Ky. 1P, La., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va. cp. [Tex., Okla., Mo., 1ll., Ind.].

According to Campbell (1952), M. minimus consists of eight subspecific taxa, one of which (subsp. minimus) alone occurs in eastern North America.

#### TRAUTVETTERIA Fischer & Meyer, False-Bugbane

This genus is disjunct in eastern Asia, western and eastern North America (Tamura, 1967), and consists of one to three or more species, depending on the taxonomic treatment.

#### 1. T. CAROLINENSIS (Walter) Vail

Wooded seepage slopes, stream banks and bogs; chiefly mts. Ala., Ga., Ky., Md., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Mo., Pa.].

# XANTHORHIZA Marshall, Yellow-root

This monotypic genus, confined to the southeastern United States, is distinguished by its woody habit, drooping paniculate inflorescences and yellow wccd (whence the name).

Although a number of authors (e.g. Buchheim, 1964) use the spelling Xaxihorrhiza (following recommended transliteration of classical Greek in the formation of compound words), I am retaining the spelling originally adopted by Marshall (1785), a practice recommended by Stern (1966, p. 269).

#### 1. X. SIMPLICISSIMA Marshall, Yellow-root

Shaded stream banks, moist woods, thickets and rocky ledges; all prov. Ala., Ga., Ky. mts., Md. cp., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to R. A. Pursell, Monte Manuel, and Paul Rothrock for their critical comments on an initial draft of this paper. Special thanks are due to curators of the following herbaria who provided access to specimens for these studies: FSU, GA, NCU, NCSC, PAC, PENN, PH, SMU, US, VPI, and WVA.

#### REFERENCES

- BUCHHEIM, G. 1964. Ranunculaceae, p. 133-137. In H. Melchior (ed.), A. Engler's Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien, Band II. Auflage 12. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin-Nikolassee.
- CALDER, J. A. and R. L. TAYLOR. 1963. A new species of *Isopyrum* endemic to the Queen Charlotte Islands of British Columbia and its relation to other species in the genus. Madroño 17: 69-76.

CAMPBELL, G. R. 1952. The genus Myosurus L. (Ranunculaceae) in North America. Aliso 2: 398-403.

CRONQUIST, A. 1968. The evolution and classification of flowering plants. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston.

DRUMMOND, J. R. and J. HUTCHINSON. 1920. A revision of Isopyrum (Ranunculaceae) and its nearer allies. Kew Bull. 1920: 145-169.

FASSÉTT, N. C. 1946. Preliminary reports on the flora of Wisconsin, No. 33. Ranunculaceae. Trans. Wisc. Acad. Sci. 38: 189-210.

FERNALD, M. L. 1929. Coptis trifolia and its eastern American representative. Rhodora 31: 136-142.

. 1940. Some spermatophytes in castern North America. Rhodora 42: 260-265.

\_ \_ \_ 1950. Gray's manual of botany, 8th ed. American Book Co., New York.

GLEASON, H. A. 1944. Actaea alba versus Actaea pachypoda. Rhodora 46: 146-148.

and A. CRONQUIST. 1963. Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada. D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, N.J.

GRAY, A. 1895. Ranunculaceae, p. 1-57. In B. L. Robinson (ed.), Synoptical flora of North America, Vol. I, pt. 1. American Book Co., N.Y.

HARA, H. 1962. Racial differences in widespread species, with special reference to those common to Japan and North America. Amer. J. Bot. 47: 647-652.

and S. KUROSAWA. 1958. Differentiation within Anemone behatica L. of Japan. J. Jap. Bot. 33: 265-274.

HIROE, M. 1957. A cytotaxonomical study on *Anemone behatica* L. (Ranunculaceae) of Japan. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 70: 4-7.

HULTEN, E. 1937. The flora of the Aleutian Islands and westernmost Alaska peninsula. Bokforlags Aktiebolaget Thule, Stockholm. JANCHEN, E. 1949. Die systematische Gliederung der Ranunculaceen und Berberidaceen. Denkschr. Akad. Wiss. Wien. Wien. Math.-naturwiss. Kl. 108, Bd. 4, Abh. 1-82.

KANF, J. M. 1966. Biosystematics of the genus Actueat in North America (Ranunculaceae). Amer. J. Bot. 53: 634 (abst.).

KEARNEY, T. H. 1897. New or otherwise interesting plants of eastern Tennessee. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 24: 560-575.

KEFNER, C. S. 1975. Studies in the Ranunculaceae of the southeastern United States. I. Anemone L. Castanea 40: 36-44.

KOOTIN-SANWU, M. and S. R. J. WOODELL. 1971. The cytology of Caltha halustris: cytogenetic relationships. Heredity 26: 121-135.

LLOYD, J. U. and C. G. LLOYD. 1884 [1886]. Drugs and medicines of North America, Vol. 1, Ranunculaceae. Cincinnati.

MACKENZIE, K. K. 1928. White-fruited bane-berries. Torreya 28: 51-53.

MARSHALL, H. 1785. Arbustum americanum. Philadelphia.

MHLLER, P. 1768. The gardeners dictionary, ed. 8. London-

MUNZ, P. A. 1946. Aquilegia, the cultivated and wild columbines. Gentes Herb. 7: 1-150.

OHWI, J. 1965. Flora of Japan. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

PURSH, F. 1814. Flora americae septentrionalis. London.

RADFORD, A. E. 1968. Ranunculaceae, p. 452-468. In A. E. Radford, H. E. Aliles and C. R. Bell, Mancal of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. Univ. of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, N.C.

C. R. BELL, J. W. HARDIN, and R. L. WILBUR. 1967. Contributor's guide for the vascular flora of the southeastern United States, Dept, of Botany, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C.

RAFINESQUE, C. S. 1820. Description d'un nouveau genre de plantes, *Enemion*, et remarques botaniques. J. Phys. 91: 70-72.

RAMSEY, G. 1965. A biosystematic study of the genus *Cimicifuga* (Ranunculaceae). Ph.D. Thesis, University of Tennessee.

SMALL, J. K. 1933. Manual of the southeastern flora. Publ. by the author, New York.

SMIT, P. G. 1973. A revision of Caltha (Ranunculaceae). Blumea 21: 119-150.

STERN, W. T. 1966. Botanical Latin. Hafner Publ. Co., New York.

STEYERMARK, J. A. and C. S. STEYERMARK. 1960. *Hepatica* in North America. Rhodora 62: 223-232.

STONE, D. E. 1959. A unique balanced breeding system in the vernal pool mousetails. Evolution 13: 151-174.

. 1960. Nuclear cytology of the California mousetails (Myosurus). Madroño 15: 139-148.

STRAUSBAUGH, P. D. and E. L. CORE, 1971. Flora of West Virginia, pt. II, ed. 2. West Virginia Univ. Bull., Morgantown, W.Va.

TAMURA, M. 1965. Morphology, ecology and phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae IV. Sci. Rep. Osaka Univ. 14: 53-71.

. 1966. Morphology, ecology and phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae VI. Sci. Rep. Osaka Univ. 15: 13-35.

. 1967. Morphology, ecology and phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae VII. Sci. Rep. Osaka Univ. 16: 21-43.

. 1968. Morphology, ecology and phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae VIII. Sci. Rep. Osaka Univ. 17: 41-56.

and L. A. LAUFNER. 1968. A revision of *Isopyrum*, *Dicbocarpum* and their allies. Notes Roy. Bot. Edinb. 28: 267-273.

TORREY, J. and A. GRAY. 1838. A flora of North America, Vol. 1. Wiley & Putman, New York. [Facsimile edition, 1969. Hafner Publ. Co., New York.]

TUTIN, T. G. 1964. Caltha L., p. 211. In T. G. Tutin, et al. (eds.) Flora Europaea, Vol. 1. Cambridge Univ. Press, London.

ZIMMMERMANN, W. 1963. Familie Ranunculaceae, p. 53-77. In G. Hegi, Illustrierte Flora von Mittel-Europa, ed. 2. Bd. III (Teil 3, Lief, 1). Carl Hanser, München.